



HAZARDOUS MATERIAL SPILLS INFORMATION REQUEST

GLOBAL CHURCH ST PORT (MOBIL)
50 CHURCH ST PORT

ALBANY, NY NO ZIP PROVIDED

Spill Number: 1110257

Close Date:

ADDRESS CHANGE INFORMATION
Revised street: 50 CHURCH STREET
Revised zip code: 12202

Source of Spill: MAJOR OIL FACILITY (>400,000 GAL)
Notifier Type: Responsible Party
Caller Name:
DEC Investigator: BXBROWN

Spiller: DARRYL – GLOBAL (MOBIL)
Notifier Name:
Caller Agency:
Contact for more spill info: DARRYL

Spiller Phone:
Notifier Phone:
Caller Phone:
Contact Person Phone:

Category: Known release which created a fire/explosion hazards (inside or outdoors), drinking water supply contamination, or significant releases to surface waters.
Class: Willing RP – DEC Field Response – Corrective Action Initiated, Taken Over, or Completed by RP or Other Agency

Spill Date	Date Cleanup Ceased	Cause of Spill	Meets Cleanup Standards	Penalty Recommended
11/17/2011		EQUIPMENT FAILURE	NO	NO

Material Spilled	Material Class	Quantity Spilled	Units	Quantity Recovered	Units	Resource(s) Affected
KEROSENE	PETROLEUM	28000	GALLONS	0	GALLONS	

Caller Remarks:

APPROX. 450 GALLONS MISSING FROM TANK AT THIS TIME, DESCRIBED AS #1 LOW-SULFUR DIESEL, NON-DYED.

DEC Investigator Remarks:

See Edocs; 1110260.

11/17 – MF telecon with Bohelke. Tank was isolated yesterday/today and they confirmed a loss. Loss est to be ~450 gallons. They have checked river, storm water collection, and o/w sep and did not see a sheen. They plan on emptying the tank.

11/18 – MF on site. Met with Bohelke, Tom (environmental guy) and another Global rep. They indicated that they noticed inventory problem on Monday, Tue, and Wed. They isolated the tank and noticed appx 1/4 inch loss (450 g). Waited for another 3 hrs and did not see another loss. Waited a bit longer and noticed another 1/4 inch loss. They then began to empty tank. Plans are to put in some test pits to try to delineate plume. Advised them that they will need to get to GW with test pits.

MF back on site around 4:30. Only shallow test pits have been installed. 1 pit has some product in it, but it is now dry. They advised that drillers would be there on Monday. I suggested and encouraged them to do more tomorrow. They agreed and will have a bigger backhoe in here in the AM. Inventory loss confirmed at appx 28,000 gallons.

11/19 – MF back on site. 1 test pit installed. They guess that they are in appx 2 feet water. Appx 6 product on water table. I again advised them of the urgency to get more of the plume delineated, but they are going to wait to get a drill rig in to the site on Monday. I did suggest that at a minimum they maintain a vac truck on the recovery well through the night. They agreed to that. I spoke to Bohelke around 6:30 PM and he indicated that the well was recarging with appx 1.5 or product after vacing, so they sent the vac truck back. They plan on vacing all day tomorrow.

11/20 – MF spoke to Bohelke. Vac truck only vac'd well twice on Sunday. Well recharging between 1.3 and 9 of product. Unaware if/when drill rig will be on site.

10/15/13 – Another site where the notes vanished. To update, there are wells in and the spill busted systems are being maintained. Most recent report indicates site is status quo.

MF sent inquiry about wells to Roux. Their response ..Matt –

Thank you for your review of the report. In response to your questions about RX-16: The area of free product around RX-16 is defined by RX-09 and RX-29 as well as RX-17 and RX-30. We will continue to watch the recovery from this well and other wells in that area of the site, and evaluate if more delineation is required. In February 2012, Roux performed a tidal analysis on RX-6 (located approximately 100-feet southeast of RX-16). The water level in that well averaged 2.3' feet of tidal influence, compared to the river's average influence of 5.6' over the same 7-day timeframe. The groundwater flow direction has been monitored by Roux at the site for the past several years. I am including a copy of the groundwater contours from April 2013's annual monitoring event. These contours are consistent with previous year's groundwater elevations and flow direction. We will be performing the annual monitoring event for 2014 in the next couple of weeks. I can forward along the newest contours once they are completed.

In addition, RX-16 has shown increased levels of free-product over the winter months. Roux is planning on moving a spill buster to this location, however, this well is located on the other side of an access road. In order to run the associated tubing and wires for the spill buster, we will need to dig a small trench under the access road. Due to the frozen ground, we have had to delay these activities until the ground has thawed. This is something we would like to accomplish as soon as possible to maximize the recovery from that well.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions.

5/14/14 – Report received. Spill busters were shut down in Jan to check for rebound. Wells 7,14,31 showed some rebound, the rest did not. Spill busters were re-started. Site remains status-quo.

9/2/14 – Status report received and reviewed. Site was checked for product rebound. Most rebound noted in RX 6,14,22,31. Spill busters are operational at site and still providing product recovery. Site is otherwise status-quo.

12/9 –0 December 2014 report received. Covers from 7/13/14 – 9/12/14. Basically, status quo.

2/5/15 – Report received. Status quo.

5/27/15 – Report received. Status quo. They are going to submit quarterly reports from now on.

8/13/15 – Report received. Status quo. They are going to evaluate the effectiveness of the recovery system. RX5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 27, 30, 31, 32, 33, sumps 1 – 6 routinely have product in them. RX 25 and 26 just recently showed product. RX 10, 16, 27, 31, 33 have had the most product in them. 25 and 26 appear to be sentinel type wells and there are no wells beyond them in that direction. If free product continues to show up in them, additional wells may be required.

3/11/16 – Report received. Status quo. Effectiveness of spill busters still being reviewed.

5/10/16 – Report reviewed. Mainly status quo. It appears that it might be worth moving a spill buster to RX 10 and 33. Will send email to consultant.

Spill being reassigned to UTBERG.

9/29/16 Proposal received to conduct an air sweep pilot test on select wells impacted throughout the site. Test to be conducted in November and based on the results of the test the technique may be used for further remediation. Test will consist of injecting air into the soil slightly above the free phase product layer and then pumping air out of the existing recovery wells using them as an SVE system. The extracted air will travel through a moisture extractor and be discharged through Carbon drums.

12/6/16 Status report received for last quarter. Spill busters are still operating and recovering product. Pilot test for air sweep to augment the spill buster system was completed and will be submitted in the next status report. Report in DECdocs. JDU

1/31/17 – FOIL W016097–122116, selected EDOCS from 9214481, 9214484, 1110257, 1504084 via WebQA.